

Artikel IJERE 2023

by Muhtarom Ijere

Submission date: 21-Mar-2023 05:14PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2042564165

File name: 25968-53178-1-RV_-_OK.docx (513.4K)

Word count: 8484

Character count: 50903

Research on Mathematical Beliefs: Systematic Literature Review

20 htarom, Sutrisno, Nizaruddin, Yanuar Hery Murtianto
Universitas PGRI Semarang, Jl. Sidodadi Timur No. 24-Semarang, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received mm dd, yyyy
Revised mm dd, yyyy
Accepted mm dd, yyyy

Keywords:

Beliefs
Bibliometric analysis
Learning of mathematics
Nature of mathematics
Teaching of mathematics

ABSTRACT

3 This study uses the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method to identify knowledge trends in beliefs about the nature of mathematics, learning mathematics and identify new development opportunities for further research. The Scopus database was used, and 41 documents were found as the primary source for further analysis. The results show that mathematical beliefs have become a current research trend. The number of publications increased significantly starting in 1989, accompanied by many citations. Based on these primary documents, it is known that the development of mathematical beliefs research topics. The foundation of this topic begins with studying the topic of knowledge, activities, and learning mathematics. Then it is developed into other, more varied studies, including teacher's beliefs transition; mathematical beliefs comparison; mathematics teacher's belief, teaching, and learning; mathematical beliefs and problem-solving; inquiry-based learning; affective factors; technology utilization; common misconceptions; and development of mathematics teacher's beliefs instrument. This research investigates the core of scientific work to provide information to researchers and institutions as material for consideration of research to be carried out.

1 This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.



Corresponding Author:

17 htarom
Universitas PGRI Semarang, Jl. Sidodadi Timur No.24-Semarang, Indonesia
Email: muhtarom@upgris.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

In the educational process, teachers are crucial [1]. Teachers' beliefs and knowledge of the pedagogical content determine how effectively they communicate lesson content [2]. This includes knowledge of students' learning styles, teaching and learning strategies, and content mastery [3], [4]. Teachers' beliefs and commitment to pedagogical practice in the classroom are linked to using appropriate teaching methods and simplifying instruction [2], [5].

Mathematical beliefs about the discipline and how it is learned and taught [28] The teacher's experience as a student and the teacher's influence at school shape initial beliefs. Beliefs in mathematics as a discipline, beliefs about teaching, and beliefs about learning mathematics can all be classified [4]. Ernest, as quoted [6], [7] states three philosophies about mathematics. The first is the Instrumental viewpoint, which holds that mathematics is a set of facts, rules, and abilities. The second is the Platonic view of mathematics as static and a synthesis of other fields of knowledge. Mathematics was invented, not created. Third,

mathematics is dynamic, namely the continuous development process resulting from the human creation. Mathematics is a constant process of knowing, and there is no end.

In addition, four categories of mathematical beliefs are held by students [8]. First, the notion that mathematics is complicated or constrained by rules is one belief. Second, self-confidence is the belief that one can learn mathematics and succeed or fail. Thirdly, beliefs about teaching include notions of how to assist students in learning mathematics. Fourth are socially relevant beliefs.

The belief that mathematics education is competitive and that parents or other outsiders influence it falls under this category. Systematic research is being conducted on teachers' teaching beliefs and actual practice [2]. According to one group of researchers, teachers' beliefs, as well as their teaching and learning values, influence their teaching practices [1], [2], [4], [7]. Mathematics is heavily influenced by mathematics learning and teachers' beliefs about mathematics and how students are taught.

As explained above, mathematics education researchers' contribution to understanding teachers' beliefs and knowledge is known. In addition, it is also known that this topic has only begun to be massively researched in the last decade. Unfortunately, until now, there is no factual data regarding the many publications and mapping of topics that have been investigated. Academics need to get a map of the development studies on this topic from year to year. Therefore, it is necessary to examine the latest effects of studies on beliefs about the nature of mathematics, teaching, and learning mathematics.

2. METHODS

The Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method is used in this study, which aims to identify knowledge trends in the subject of beliefs about the nature of mathematics, mathematics teaching, and mathematics learning and identify new development opportunities for further research [9]–[12]. In SLR, the Systematic Mapping Study (SMS) method is the initial stage. The SMS method is more descriptive than supported by the SLR method, which is exploratory and exploitative and provides adequate transparency and replication as a research method [11]. However, this article only presents SLR so the research topic can be explored in depth.

There are five research stages: keyword selection, data search, article selection, data validation, and data analysis. Before entering the research phase, the Scopus database was chosen because it is broad in scope, credible, and provides access to a collection of essential information for this research, such as titles, abstracts, and keywords [13], [14]. The keywords "nature of mathematics," OR "learning of mathematics," OR "teaching of mathematics," AND "beliefs" were used in the Scopus database search (i.e., in titles, abstracts, and keywords). This search resulted in 222 documents which were then reduced with various considerations, namely: (1) only English documents were selected to facilitate content analysis, (2) only documents in the form of articles of journals or proceedings were selected because they went through a rigorous review process and had been empirically validated. Based on the reduction, 178 documents were obtained in 168 journal articles and 10 proceeding articles in English.

Furthermore, the examination and selection of titles and abstracts are carried out following the topics studied. It was found that seven documents did not match the topic, so they were removed from the research database, leaving 171 documents in the form of 163 journal articles or eight articles of proceedings. Table 1 shows the document selection stage. The data search results are then selected and validated to read and analyze the data. The primary sources selected based on the SMS method were further analyzed by the SLR method using NVivo to identify new original development opportunities for further research. Coding on the primary source is done to form categories, then presented in tables or diagrams and interpreted.

Table 1. Document Selection Stage

Phase	Description	Results
Phase 1	Select database: Scopus.	-
Phase 2	Search the database with four keywords: "nature of mathematics," OR "learning of mathematics," OR "teaching of mathematics," AND "beliefs."	222 documents
Phase 3	Select only English article publications.	205 documents
Phase 4	Select only publications in the form of journal articles or proceedings.	178 documents
Phase 5	Check the title and abstract according to the topic being studied.	171 documents

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Primary Document

The primary document is chosen based on the number of global and local citations. Citations to all documents in the Scopus database are considered global citations, whereas citations to specific documents are considered local citations in this research database (171 documents). Therefore, every document that gets

local citations must also get global citations, not vice versa. In Table 2, 41 documents have received local citations and global citations. So, documents that get global citations only and documents that do not get citations are set aside. The documents in this research are in the form of journal articles or proceedings. Articles [15] on Teach Teach Educ received the most local citations, namely ten times, and the most global citations, namely 375 times. Therefore, this article becomes the primary reference on this topic. The article [16] on Educ Stud Math was followed by 7 local and 99 global citations. The third position, the article [17] on ZDM Internat J Math Edu, received four local and 33 global citations. Besides these three articles, 38 other articles can be considered primary documents. These essential documents are further explored in greater depth through the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) [11], [18].

Table 2. Local and Global Citation Documents

Document	Year	Local Citation (LC)	Global Citation (GC)	LC/GC Ratio (%)
Stipek DJ, 2001, Teach Teach Education	2001	10	375	2.67
Beswick K, 2012, Educ Stud Math	2012	7	99	7.07
Felbrich A, 2012, ZDM Internat J Math Edu	2012	4	33	12.12
Cross DI, 2009, J Math Teach Education	2009	3	119	2.52
Liljedahl PG, 2005, Int J Math Educ Sci Technol	2005	3	57	5.26
Purnomo YW, 2016, Int Electron J Elem Educ	2016	3	18	16.67
Viholainen A, 2014, Eurasia J Math Sci Technol Educ	2014	3	18	16.67
Zakaria E, 2010, Soc Sci	2010	3	10	30.00
Cooney TJ, 1999, Educ Stud Math	1999	2	59	3.39
Barkatsas AN, 2005, Math Educ Res J	2005	2	50	4.00
Perry B, 1999, Math Educ Res J	1999	2	34	5.88
Engeln K, 2013, ZDM Internat J Math Edu	2013	2	31	6.45
Ren L, 2018, J Math Teach Educ	2018	2	17	11.76
Forgasz HJ, 2002, Educ Inf Technol	2002	2	8	25.00
Chouinard R, 2007, Br J Educ Psychol	2007	1	118	0.85
Lee JS, 2009, Aus J Earl Child	2009	1	67	1.49
Bennison A, 2010, Math Educ Res J	2010	1	51	1.96
Lavicza Z, 2010, ZDM Internat J Math Edu	2010	1	42	2.38
Cady J, 2006, J Educ Res	2006	1	36	2.78
Dorier JL, 2013, ZDM Internat J Math Edu	2013	1	28	3.57
Phelps CM, 2010, Educ Stud Math	2010	1	23	4.35
Thurm D, 2020, ZDM Internat J Math Edu	2020	1	18	5.56
Siswono TYE, 2017, J Phys Conf Ser	2017	1	18	5.56
Xu L, 2013, ZDM Internat J Math Edu	2013	1	18	5.56
Andrews P, 2007, J Curric Study	2007	1	16	6.25
Liu PH, 2009, Int J Sci Math Educ	2009	1	15	6.67
Benz C, 2012, J Math Didakt	2012	1	13	7.69
Smith RC, 2016, Can J Sci Math Technol Educ	2016	1	12	8.33
Purnomo YW, 2017, Int J Instr	2017	1	11	9.09
Paolucci C, 2015, Teach Teach Educ	2015	1	10	10.00
Zakaria E, 2012, J Math Stat	2012	1	10	10.00
Even R, 2005, Math Educ Res J	2005	1	10	10.00
Ruthven K, 1994, Educ Stud Math	1994	1	8	12.50
Liebendörfer M, 2017, ZDM Internat J Math Edu	2017	1	6	16.67
Tarmizi RA, 2010, Procedia Soc Behav Sci	2010	1	5	20.00
Safrudiannur, 2021, Int J Sci Math Educ	2021	1	4	25.00
Szydluk SD, 2013, Teach Math Appl	2013	1	4	25.00
Sriraman B, 2004, Theology Sci	2004	1	4	25.00
Muhtarom, 2018, J Eng Appl Sci	2018	1	3	33.33
Toumasis C, 1997, Stud Philos Educ	1997	1	3	33.33
Spangenberg ED, 2017, Afr Educ Rev	2017	1	2	50.00

3.2. Primary Document Description

39

This Systematic Literature Review (SLR) uses the help of the NVivo QSR software. Based on the analysis results of 41 primary documents, it can be mapped into five themes that are often discussed, namely

Paper's should be the fewest possible that accurately describe ... (First Author)

"mathematics", "teachers", "beliefs", "students", and "learning" (Figure 1). The most dominant theme mentioned was "mathematics" 1,070 times, followed by "teachers" 866 times, "beliefs" 423 times, "students" 300 times, and "learning" 217 times (Figure 2).




Figure 1. Themes Appearing in the Primary Document

Name	Files	References	Created on	Created by	Modified on	Modified by
mathematics	41	1070	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST
teachers	40	866	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST
beliefs	38	423	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST
students	37	300	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST
learning	39	217	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST	11/4/2022 6:42 PM	ST

Figure 2. Result of Theme Coding in Primary Document

In furthermore to coding articles into themes, articles are also coded into sentiments so that the trend of the direction of the information can be seen. Figure 3 presents the results of the sentiment coding of the article, and it can be seen that positive information appears 2,651 times and negative information appears 1,329 times. So, it can be concluded that the information in the primary document highlights more positive things related to the research topic than negative things. On the positive sentiment, the researchers emphasized mathematics, teaching, knowledge, and students. On the other hand, although with a smaller proportion, researchers also have negative sentiments regarding these things except, for knowledge, negative sentiments also arise regarding teachers, beliefs, and perspectives. To see each article in detail can be seen in Figure 4.

Name	Files	References	Created on	Created by	Modified on	Modified by
Positive	41	2651	11/4/2022 6:32 PM	ST	11/5/2022 3:04 PM	ST
Very positive	41	658	11/4/2022 6:32 PM	ST	11/5/2022 3:05 PM	NV
Moderately positive	41	1993	11/4/2022 6:32 PM	ST	11/5/2022 3:05 PM	NV
Negative	41	1329	11/4/2022 6:32 PM	ST	11/5/2022 3:05 PM	ST
Moderately negative	41	933	11/4/2022 6:32 PM	ST	11/5/2022 3:05 PM	NV
Very negative	41	396	11/4/2022 6:32 PM	ST	11/5/2022 3:05 PM	NV

Figure 3. Sentiment Coding Results in the Primary Document




Figure 4. Hierarchy Chart of Sentiment Coding Results in Each Primary Document

A positive researcher's sentiment is that teaching is founded on firmly held views about the nature of the subject, student learning, and the teacher's position. Because beliefs shape individual conceptions of and engagement with mathematics, they play an essential role in creating teachers' distinctive instructional behavior patterns [19]. In contrast, the negative sentiment is that pre-service high school mathematics teachers have difficulty putting their beliefs into practice [20]. Three possible explanations, namely: (1) the profundity of the teacher's beliefs and their integration with other knowledge and beliefs, particularly pedagogical knowledge; (2) how much teachers reflect on their teaching techniques and the degree to which they are aware of their beliefs; and (3) the impact of the social setting on the actions and behaviors of teachers [21].

3.3. The Development of Mathematical Beliefs Research Topics

Currently, studies on mathematical beliefs are increasingly in demand by academics. Research into mathematical beliefs emerged in 1901 that linked them to one's emotions [22]. However, this topic was not trending then, and there were no other publications until 1988. So, this topic was not in demand for more than eight decades. The number of documents on mathematical beliefs began to increase significantly in 1989, with a peak in 2021 of 16 documents. Research on mathematical beliefs began to be re-invented by Ernest [21], who examined the philosophy of mathematics and education. He argues that Views of the nature of mathematics are essential to teaching it and can significantly impact students' mathematics curriculum. However, it is necessary to distinguish between views inferred from actual classroom practice and beliefs expressed at the nature of mathematics [21]. Starting from the results of this study, in the following years, this topic began to be of interest to researchers (for example, [23]–[26]).

Whitman & Lai [23] discusses the beliefs held by teachers from various sociocultural backgrounds about how to effectively teach mathematics: Hawaii, USA, and Tokyo, Japan. Although beliefs regarding what constitutes practical teaching share similarities, the differences are more significant. These differences appear to be a reflection of teachers' sociocultural backgrounds. Classroom management, face-saving measures, and responding to individual variances and needs differ. Ernest [26] then presents a model of belief systems related to teaching mathematics and the issue of the contrast between espoused and enforced beliefs. It is similarly argued [24] that the inseparability of mathematical practice from theory means putting specific knowledge into practical effect. Meanwhile, according to widespread opinion, from the perspective of learning technology, Julie [25], Computers should be used to teach and learn mathematics. In mathematics classes, computers are used to: (1) allow students to create computer programs that imitate well-known mathematical approaches; (2) allow students to reinforce previously taught topics; and (3) explore the micro-world of mathematics, which includes well-known mathematical ideas and concepts.

This study includes exploratory findings from published research on the research subjects of beliefs about the nature of mathematics, mathematics instruction, and mathematics learning. In this study, 41 articles were identified as the primary documents for the study of this topic. Three of them are articles [15], [16], and [17] can be seen as the primary reference. Stipek et al. [15] demonstrated significant coherence between teachers' beliefs and their associations with learning practices. The student's self-confidence as a math learner correlates with the teacher's self-confidence as a math teacher. His studies include: (1) the nature of mathematics (tools for thinking versus procedures for solving problems), (2) learning mathematics (concentrating on precise responses rather than grasping mathematical principles), (3) who should be in charge of students' mathematical activity, (4) the nature of mathematical aptitude (fixed versus soft), (5) the importance of extrinsic rewards for getting students involved in mathematical activities, and (6) teacher confidence and enjoyment of teaching. It further [16] states that mathematics teachers' opinions about the nature of mathematics influence their teaching.

In most cases, school mathematics is distinct from mathematicians' mathematical pursuits. In a matrix framework that accommodates possible divergent perspectives on school mathematics and the subject, beliefs about mathematics can be helpful. In line with this, Felbrich et al. [17] states that beliefs are essential to professional ability and are very important for perceiving the situation because they influence our actions. Without ignoring the other 38 documents, which also contributed significantly, these three documents became the primary source for information on this subject. The following are the contributions of other documents to the topic of mathematical beliefs' development.

3.3.1. Knowledge, Activities, and Learning Mathematics

Ruthven & Coe [27] researched mathematical knowledge, activity, and learning. The emergent constructs can provide heuristic benefits for understanding student beliefs. His findings indicate no direct and systematic link between beliefs about the nature of mathematical knowledge and activity and mathematics education. In contrast, this [28] says that how mathematics is taught in schools is heavily influenced by philosophical and epistemological beliefs about the nature of mathematics. The primary conceptual tool used

is the model of the two dichotomies of the philosophy of mathematics: absolutism versus fallibilism and its relationship to mathematics pedagogy. Sriraman [29] states that there is an age-old debate about whether mathematics was discovered or created in the philosophy of mathematics. This debate has four popular points of view: Platonism, Formalism, Intuitionism, and Logism. Interviews with five professional research mathematicians were conducted, and the study revealed four mathematicians leaning towards Platonism, which contradicts the popular belief. According to the findings of this study, mathematicians' research methods are influenced by their beliefs about the nature of mathematics, which are, in turn, influenced by their theological beliefs. Liu [30] observed how students' epistemological beliefs about mathematics developed during a calculus course. The findings indicate that while most students taking this course experience relatively significant shifts in their mathematical epistemological beliefs, the patterns and extents of these shifts vary between groups and individuals. Viholainen et al. [31] examine the epistemological beliefs of mathematics teaching assistants on the nature of mathematics and the aims of teaching and learning mathematics. The findings indicate that teaching assistants frequently view mathematics as a static system. Nevertheless, the following characteristics are present in their conceptions of the objectives of mathematics instruction: application-related, process-related, schema-related, and formalism-related issues. Because education can impact future teachers' beliefs, it is essential to acknowledge the results.

3.3.2. Teacher's Beliefs Transition

Cady, Meier, & Lubinski document the progress of mathematics teachers as they move from being in-service to being experienced [32]. Teachers' epistemological perspectives and beliefs towards mathematics teaching and learning have evolved. Nevertheless, many participants do not carefully examine students' thought processes when making instructional decisions. As previously taught, changes in teachers' beliefs and practices have exceeded expectations and resulted in effective teachers. A similar study was conducted [33] comparing the beliefs of mathematics majors and faculty about the behavioral nature of mathematics at the beginning and end of an inquiry-based mathematics course with those of general education students. The initial survey's findings align with those of previous studies of the same group, such as elementary school teacher candidates: The subject is viewed by participants as a collection of loosely connected facts and procedures. However, the statistics demonstrate that general education students' mathematical ideas changed dramatically by the end of the course; general education students scored similarly to mathematics majors post-survey. This implies that the theme beliefs of these students are flexible. Paolucci [34] considers the ability of advanced mathematical studies to impact pre-service teachers' beliefs about mathematics. According to the findings, many teacher candidates' beliefs continue to reflect narrow interpretations of critical terminology and a lack of respect for the theoretical and conceptual networks that underpin secondary mathematical rules and procedures, even after completing a degree that includes advanced mathematical studies.

Additionally, many of their beliefs about mathematics fail to understand its ability to foster creative and analytical thinking. In circumstances where this is the case, this study analyzes the role of advanced mathematics coursework for pre-service teachers in generating well-developed beliefs. Liebendörfer and Schukajlow [35] examine the development of first-year student interest in junior high school teacher programs and the relationship between belief systems and interests. The findings demonstrated that (1) students' interest in mathematics remained stable throughout the first academic year; (2) beliefs in the application were positively correlated with interest in the first semester but not in the second; and (3) beliefs in the application at the start of the semester predicted student interest at the end of the semester in the second semester but not in the first. Furthermore, process beliefs, schemas, or formalism did not predict the first or second period of interest. These studies are about the impact of belief systems on interest and possible consequences based on distinctions between school mathematics and university mathematics.

3.3.3. Mathematical Beliefs Comparison

Perry, Tracey, and Howard [36] report an investigation of teachers' beliefs regarding the nature of mathematics and the learning and teaching of mathematics. This study seeks to compare the beliefs held by the Head Mathematics Teacher with those held by the class mathematics teacher at the same school. A different subject [19] was investigated, reporting studies on the history of the teacher's professional life and their beliefs about the subject content necessary for teaching and learning mathematics. As a result of firmly defined national viewpoints on education in general and mathematics education in particular, the two groups demonstrated significant differences. Teachers in the UK tend to see mathematics as a number that can be applied and a means by which students prepare for the world outside of school. Teachers prioritize mathematics in Hungary as a problem-solving and logical reasoning skill. Spangenberg and Myburgh [37]

57 compared male and female pre-service instructors' perceptions of mathematics' nature. While both sexes hold the same Platonic and instrumentalist beliefs, women adhere to lesser experimentalist beliefs than men.

3 Meanwhile, Muhtarom et al. [38], the concept of mathematics, the relationship between mathematics and everyday life, and perspectives 43 the development of mathematical knowledge should be the focus of study on potential teachers' opinions about the nature of mathematics. According to the study's findings, most instrumentalist pupils believe mathematics is an exact science constituted of laws that do not change, are proven to be accurate, and are not interconnected but valuable in life. That knowledge of mathematics is fixed and unchanging. This belief is founded on the notion that mathematics is a precise science. Others believe that mathematics is constantly changing, creative, and dynamic.

3.3.4. Mathematics Teacher's Belief, Teaching, and Learning

Cooney [39] discusses how teachers learn and teach mathematics and how these methods relate to their professional development. The teacher's mathematical experience must match the type of teaching expected of a reflective and adaptive teacher. Next, Barkatsas & Malone [20] investigate mathematics instructors' views on the nature of mathematics and its teaching and learning. It looks into the numerous links between these ideas and learning techniques. According to the data, teachers' ideas about learning and teaching mathematics were less traditional than their actual teaching practices. Focusing more on assessment techniques, [40] it examines two issues related to teachers' expectations 52 use contemporary assessment techniques. Teachers' comprehension of assessment data is the first issue. The teacher's interpretation of the student's math understanding, knowledge, and learning refer to a vast knowledge base of beliefs, attitudes, and understandings.

Consequently, there is ambiguity and difficulty in the students' mathematical understanding sense-making process. The second issue involves assisting educators in implementing modern assessment methods. It would appear that modern assessment tools receive attention, but this is linked to traditional assessment goals. Aspects of relearning assessment are highlighted [41]. Still, the link between mathematics instructors' beliefs and how they organize classroom activities, interact with students and evaluate student learning is investigated in more complex situations. The findings revealed that beliefs largely influence teachers' day-to-day pedagogical decisions. Their assumptions regarding pedagogy and student learning are primarily based on their assumptions regarding the nature of mathematics.

On the 25 aspect of the learning approach, Zakaria & Musiran [42] it analyzes teacher apprentices' assumptions about the nature of mathematics, teaching, and learning. According to the study, the intern teacher's beliefs lead to a constructivist approach. According to intern teachers, math problems can be answered in various ways. Furthermore, intern teachers feel that for pupils to study mathematics, they must understand its concepts, principles, and procedures. Mathematical instruction should include opportunities for students to apply their 11 knowledge to real-world scenarios. Finally, the data revealed significant gender disparities in attitudes 50 regarding the nature of mathematics and studying mathematics. Zakaria & Maat [43] further investigate secondary school mathematics teachers' beliefs and teaching practices; researchers did not consistently report the relationship between the two. In terms of mathematical beliefs, there was no difference between teachers with less experience and those with more experience. Their teaching methods and beliefs in mathematics are correlated in a moderately significant way. Teachers will be more likely to use positive and efficient teaching methods if they have 9 reasonable beliefs about mathematics.

Meanwhile, Wahyu et al. [44] investigate the relationship between pre-service elementary school teachers' views and mathematics classroom learning practices. The research findings indicate that instructional approaches may not necessarily mirror the views espoused. However, assumptions about the nature of mathematics have the most significant influence on learning processes.

3.3.5. Mathematical Beliefs and Problem-Solving

Xu and Clarke [45] stated that classroom discursive practice greatly influences students' mathematics 31 learning. While shared macrocultural values and beliefs may frame classroom social interactions similarly, meta-discursive rules in classroom microculture dictate opportunities for student learning in mathematics based on similarities and differences across the three classrooms. 30 Wono et al. [6] investigated secondary school teachers' ideas regarding three mathematical concepts: the nature of mathematics, mathematics teaching and learning, and mathematical problem-solving expertise. According to the findings, all teachers do not consistently respond to their students' opinions on math-related ideas and have shortcomings, particularly in problem-solving topic knowledge. Furthermore, the data demonstrate that instructors' opinions strongly relate 48 their problem-solving knowledge. Instrumental teachers' beliefs are primarily compatible with their lack of problem-solving knowledge.

However, the attitudes of Platonic and problem-solving instructors are congruent with their reasonably firm grasp of pedagogical content or problem-solving. Safrudiannur & Rott [46] investigate how students'

skills influence instructors' ideas about teaching, learning, and problem-solving mathematics, as well as the relationship between these beliefs and teachers' attitudes about mathematics. The findings reveal that teachers' opinions on teaching and learning can change in response to the abilities of their students. They also identified a correlation between teachers' opinions about teaching and learning mathematics with low-ability students rather than high-ability pupils.

3.3.6. Inquiry-Based Learning

Engeln, Euler, and Maass [47] stated that schools must adopt a pedagogical change to overcome science and mathematics teaching shortcomings and enhance literacy standards in these disciplines. According to teachers, inquiry-based learning (IBL) is the preferable technique for characterizing the current state of IBL in everyday instruction and assessing the challenges instructors expect when implementing IBL. They provide a subset of the study's findings for the first time, which provide an overview of teachers' attitudes and reports on implementing current IBL techniques in a European environment. From the perspective of a practicing teacher, the findings facilitate cross-cultural comparisons of IBL's potential and challenges. Furthermore, this research reveals significant differences in how science and mathematics are taught. The findings of a baseline study can be used to assess the effects of interventions on improving educational quality. Dorier and Gracia [48] investigate the conditions and constraints that may aid or hinder the widespread adoption of inquiry-based science and math education. In this research method, the teacher is viewed as an institutional actor embedded in the educational system, represents various fields, and shares some societal pedagogical concerns. The approach is structured around the four layers of institutional organization that influence the content and didactic features of mathematics and science teaching: discipline, education, society, and pedagogy. Instead, they investigate how conditions and restrictions operate, explain their primary findings, and draw numerous views utilizing their four stages of didactical determination.

3.3.7. Affective Factors

Liljedahl [49] researched the impact of the AHA experience. The positive emotions accompanying such enlightenment are examined explicitly for their role in altering the beliefs and attitudes of "hold" students. Pre-service Teachers who believe they are disabled or afraid of math and learning it are required as a prerequisite for admission to a teacher preparation program to take an undergraduate mathematics course. According to the findings, AHA! had a transforming influence on students' affective domain, fostering positive attitudes and beliefs about mathematics and their ability to do it. The basis of mathematical achievement behavioral models includes social agent support, competence beliefs, utility value, and achievement targets [50]. The data suggest that effort in mathematics is mainly explained by a belief in competency and achieving goals.

Regarding the function of social agents, the findings indicate that teacher support acts on competence beliefs, while parental support perceptions primarily explain mathematics assessment-related variables. The goal of completeness significantly influences students' efforts to learn mathematics. In mathematics, age and gender had no significant impact on the nature or strength of the relationship between competence beliefs, utility value, goal attainment, and effort. Tarmizi & Tarmizi [51] investigate the teaching and learning of mathematics, particularly its beliefs, attitudes, and values. These affective factors include, among others, beliefs about learning contexts, how social context affects mathematics performance, motivational beliefs, mathematical competence, and beliefs about mathematics. Three significant predictors were found to impact students' perceptions of their mathematical competence significantly: gender, grades in mathematics, and students' beliefs regarding teacher characteristics for teaching mathematics. Phelps [52] investigates the resources that pre-service teachers use to develop their learning goals and self-efficacy beliefs, which shape their motivational profiles. According to the findings, participants' past performance, vicarious experiences, verbal persuasion, career goals, and unity between participants' views of mathematics and the nature of mathematics in the classroom were among the sources used to construct their efficacy beliefs and goals. Benz [53] evaluated the feelings, beliefs, and attitudes of kindergarten teachers about "math," "mathematics teaching and learning," and "mathematics in the early years." The findings present a broader and distinct perspective on early kindergarten mathematics. Ren and Smith [54] investigate the relationship between various teacher characteristics and contextual factors and early elementary school teachers' attitudes toward and beliefs about learning mathematics. The findings revealed a link between teacher-centered beliefs, teachers' motivation to learn mathematics, and anxiety. Teachers' mathematical beliefs and attitudes were also influenced by their levels of teacher certification, the number of college mathematics courses taken, and their perception of peer and administrative support. The findings indicate that using math knowledge in the classroom can improve teachers' attitudes and beliefs about math.

3.3.8. Technology Utilization

The effects of using computers to teach and learn mathematics are the subject of Forgasz's investigation. Ownership, professional development, perceptions of technology skills, beliefs regarding the efficacy of using computers in mathematics, and data regarding how teachers use computers [4] teach secondary mathematics are all related to the findings of this study [55]. Lavicza [56] argues that teachers' beliefs and conceptions about using technology in teaching are critical factors in understanding why technology integration is slow. The focus has shifted away from learning and technology and toward teachers' beliefs and conceptions. The extensive use of CAS in research and teaching by mathematicians, as well as the documentation of teaching practice and the conduct of research at this level, will benefit the university and contribute to improved comprehension of technology integration at all levels. Thurm and Barzel [57] stated that digital technologies such as multi-representation tools could improve mathematics learning. Due to their potential, there are numerous calls to incorporate such tools into mathematics instruction. Teaching with technology is problematic because it relies on teacher competencies like knowledge and beliefs. Professional development is essential to support teachers' meaningful use of technology and professionalize them. The outcomes revealed: teachers' beliefs about using technology to teach, their self-efficacy in using technology to teach, their epistemological beliefs, and the frequency with which they self-reported using technology using quantitative questionnaires before and after the tests. Teachers' attitudes regarding technology were where professional development programs had the most significant impact. During the professional development program, the experimental group appeared to use technology more frequently over time. Self-efficacy and epistemological beliefs were not found to be affected by the professional development program. Teachers must overcome two obstacles to use technology effectively in the classroom, which is becoming increasingly common: access to resources, including knowledge expansion and rational belief formation [58]. Understanding the connections between these obstacles is essential for teachers to overcome. The relationship between prospective middle-school teachers' technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge (TPACK) framework and their subject beliefs. The outcomes show the connection between their convictions about arithmetic, learning and showing math, and their utilization of innovation and their individual substance information, instructive substance information, and innovation educational substance information.

Bennison and Goos [59] stated that digital technology can improve student mathematics learning and that secondary school mathematics curriculum documents now encourage or require computer and graphic calculator use. However, prior research has demonstrated that teacher knowledge, self-confidence, experience, and beliefs, as well as access to resources and participation in professional development, influence the uptake and implementation of technology in the classroom. Bennison & Goos [59] comprehensively survey secondary mathematics teachers' technology-related experiences and professional development requirements. It was discovered that teachers who had participated in professional development had a greater level of self-assurance when it came to utilizing technology and were more convinced of its advantages in assisting students in learning mathematics. Expert math teachers in large metropolitan schools are more likely than other teachers to attend technology-related professional development. Still, many teachers find that they don't have enough time or access to resources. Teachers favored professional development because it helped them effectively incorporate technology into lessons to help students learn specific math concepts.

3.3.9. Common Misconceptions

Lee & Ginsburg [60] identify and address nine typical misconceptions about learning and teaching mathematics to children that prospective and actual early childhood teachers share. These misconceptions include: (1) math education is inappropriate for young children; (2) some intelligent children have math genes; (3) simple numbers and shapes are sufficient; (4) literacy and language skills are more important than math; (5) teachers must create a stimulating physical environment, step back, and let the kids play; and (6) mathematical concepts should not be taught separately. (7) Math evaluations for young children are worthless; (8) children can only learn mathematics through interaction with natural things; and (9) utilizing computers to teach and learn mathematics is a horrible concept. These misunderstandings frequently make it challenging to comprehend and interpret the most recent recommendations for the education of young children in mathematics. They also develop into covert (and sometimes overt) obstacles that prevent teachers from implementing the new methods in the classroom.

3.3.10. Development of Mathematics Teacher's Beliefs Instruments

Purnomo [61] developed and validated teachers' belief scales, including notions about the subject's nature, how it is taught, and how math learning is assessed. The scale design had 54 items, of which 15 were related to beliefs about assessment in learning mathematics, 23 to ideas about teaching mathematics, and 16

to opinions about the essence of mathematics. The exploratory factor (EFA) examines it at the initial stage to assess the scale factor's structure. As a consequence of the investigation, each scale has two elements. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was employed in the second stage to validate the factors identified by the EFA. The CFA findings demonstrate that the provided model has a high index of good fit. Each factor also has a suitable internal consistency coefficient between .715 and .787. As a result, this scale may help evaluate teachers' beliefs about mathematics.

4. CONCLUSION

This study presents the findings of an exploratory research topic of beliefs about the nature of mathematics, mathematics teaching, and mathematics learning, as reflected in published research. The results show that the topic of beliefs research about the nature of mathematics, mathematics teaching, and mathematics learning has attracted the global community's attention. The number of publications increased significantly starting in 1989, accompanied by many citations. The main document is in the form of a journal article or proceedings. Based on these primary documents, it is known that the development of mathematical beliefs research topics. The foundation of this topic begins with studying the topic of knowledge, activities, and learning mathematics. Then it is developed into other, more varied studies, including teacher's beliefs transition; mathematical beliefs comparison; mathematics teacher's belief, teaching, and learning; mathematical beliefs and problem-solving; inquiry-based learning; affective factors; technology utilization; common misconceptions; and development of mathematics teacher's beliefs instrument. This research investigates the core of scientific work to provide information to researchers and institutions as material for consideration of research to be carried out. Future research should develop a mathematics teacher's belief instrument based on information technology. The confidence data collected is essential for the government to make policies related to Continuing Professional Development (CPD) for mathematics teachers and teacher training institutes in developing strategies to prepare their graduates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Universitas PGRI Semarang for funding this research with contract number 1941/ST/A/LPPM-UPGRIS/XI/2022.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Bal, "Examination of the mathematical problem-solving beliefs and success levels of primary school teacher candidates through the variables of mathematical success and gender," *Kuram ve Uygulamada Egitim Bilimleri*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 1373–1390, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.12738/estp.2015.5.2573.
- [2] M. Muhtarom, N. Happy, F. Nursyahidah, and A. Casanova, "Pre-Service Teacher's Beliefs and Knowledge about Mathematics," *Al-Jabar : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 101–110, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.24042/ajpm.v10i1.3617.
- [3] M. Amirali and A. Halai, "Teachers' knowledge about the nature of mathematics: A survey Teachers' knowledge about the nature of mathematics: A survey of secondary school teachers in Karachi, Pakistan of secondary school teachers in Karachi, Pakistan," 2010. [Online]. Available: https://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_ied_pdck/91
- [4] K. Beswick, "Teachers' beliefs about school mathematics and mathematicians' mathematics and their relationship to practice," *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 127–147, Jan. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10649-011-9333-2.
- [5] Muhtarom, D. Juniati, and T. Y. E. Siswono, "Consistency and inconsistency of prospective teachers' beliefs in mathematics, teaching, learning and problem solving," 2017, p. 050014, doi: 10.1063/1.4995141.
- [6] T. Y. E. Siswono, A. W. Kohar, and S. Hartono, "Secondary Teachers' Mathematics-related Beliefs and Knowledge about Mathematical Problem-solving," *J Phys Conf Ser*, vol. 812, p. 012046, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/812/1/012046.
- [7] T. Y. E. Siswono, A. W. Kohar, A. H. Rosyidi, and S. Hartono, "Primary school teachers' beliefs and knowledge about mathematical problem-solving and their performance in a problem-solving task," *World Transactions on Engineering and Technology Education*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 126–131, 2017. [Online]. Available: [http://www.wiete.com.au/journals/WTE&TE/Pages/Vol.15,%20No.2%20\(2017\)/06-Siswono-T.pdf](http://www.wiete.com.au/journals/WTE&TE/Pages/Vol.15,%20No.2%20(2017)/06-Siswono-T.pdf)
- [8] M. M. Buehl and H. Fives, "Exploring Teachers' Beliefs About Teaching Knowledge: Where Does It Come From? Does It Change?," *The Journal of Experimental Education*, vol. 77, no. 4, pp. 367–408, Jul. 2009, doi: 10.3200/JEXE.77.4.367-408.
- [9] B. M. Gupta and S. Bhattacharya, "Bibliometric approach towards mapping the dynamics of science and technology," *DESIDOC Bulletin of Information Technology*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 3–8, Jan. 2004, doi: 10.14429/dbit.24.1.3616.
- [10] N. J. van Eck, L. Waltman, R. Dekker, and J. van den Berg, "A comparison of two techniques for bibliometric mapping: Multidimensional scaling and VOS," *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 61, no. 12, pp. 2405–2416, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1002/asi.21421.
- [11] A. Armitage and D. Keeble-Allen, "Undertaking a Structured Literature Review or Structuring a Literature Review: Tales from the Field," *The Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*, vol. 6, pp. 103–114, 2008, [Online]. Available: <https://academic-publishing.org/index.php/ejbrm/article/view/1231>
- [12] C. L. Borgman and J. Furner, "Scholarly communication and bibliometrics," *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 2–72, Feb. 2005, doi: 10.1002/aris.1440360102.
- [13] A. A. Chadegani et al., "A Comparison between Two Main Academic Literature Collections: Web of Science and Scopus Databases," *Asian Soc Sci*, vol. 9, no. 5, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.5539/ass.v9n5p18.
- [14] M. E. Falagas, E. I. Pitsouni, G. A. Malietzis, and G. Pappas, "Comparison of PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar: strengths and weaknesses," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 338–342, Feb. 2008, doi: 10.1096/fj.07-9492LSF.
- [15] D. J. Stipek, K. B. Givvin, J. M. Salmon, and V. L. MacGyvers, "Teachers' beliefs and practices related to mathematics instruction," *Teach Teach Educ*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 213–226, Feb. 2001, doi: 10.1016/S0742-051X(00)00052-4.

- [16] K. Beswick, "Teachers' beliefs about school mathematics and mathematicians' mathematics and their relationship to practice," *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 127–147, Jan. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10649-011-9333-2.
- [17] A. Felbrich, G. Kaiser, and C. Schmotz, "The cultural dimension of beliefs: an investigation of future primary teachers' epistemological beliefs concerning the nature of mathematics in 15 countries," *ZDM*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 355–366, Jul. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11858-012-0418-x.
- [18] D. Tranfield, D. Denyer, and P. Smart, "Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review," *British Journal of Management*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 207–222, Sep. 2003, doi: 10.1111/1467-8551.00375.
- [19] P. Andrews, "The curricular importance of mathematics: a comparison of English and Hungarian teachers' espoused beliefs," *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 317–338, Jun. 2007, doi: 10.1080/00220270600773082.
- [20] A. (Tasos) Barkatsas and J. Malone, "A typology of mathematics teachers' beliefs about teaching and learning mathematics and instructional practices," *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 69–90, Jun. 2005, doi: 10.1007/BF03217416.
- [21] P. Ernest, "Philosophy, mathematics and education," *Int J Math Educ Sci Technol*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 555–559, Jul. 1989, doi: 10.1080/0020739890200409.
- [22] J. M. Gillette, "The relation of emotion to mathematical belief.," *Psychol Rev*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 602–606, Nov. 1901, doi: 10.1037/h0071768.
- [23] N. C. Whitman and M. K. Lai, "Similarities and differences in teachers' beliefs about effective teaching of mathematics: Japan and Hawai'i," *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 71–81, Feb. 1990, doi: 10.1007/BF00311016.
- [24] F. Willmoth, "The genius of all arts' and the use of instruments: Jonas-Moore (1617–1679) as a mathematician, Surveyor, and astronomer," *Ann Sci*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 355–365, Jul. 1991, doi: 10.1080/00033799100200341.
- [25] C. Julie, "Learning novel mathematical concepts in a computer-enriched environment," *British Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 99–109, May 1991, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8535.1991.tb00294.x.
- [26] P. Ernest, "Mathematics Teacher Education and Quality," *Assess Eval High Educ*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 56–65, Mar. 1991, doi: 10.1080/0260293910160107.
- [27] K. Ruthven and R. Coe, "A structural analysis of students' epistemic views," *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 101–109, Jul. 1994, doi: 10.1007/BF01284530.
- [28] C. Toumasis, "The Nctm Standards and the Philosophy of Mathematics," *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 317–330, 1997, doi: 10.1023/A:1004909220965.
- [29] B. Sriraman, "The influence of Platonism on mathematics research and theological beliefs," *Theology and Science*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 131–147, Apr. 2004, doi: 10.1080/1474670042000196658.
- [30] P.-H. Liu, "History as A Platform for Developing College Students' Epistemological Beliefs of Mathematics," *Int J Sci Math Educ*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 473–499, Jun. 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10763-008-9127-x.
- [31] A. Viholainen, M. Asikainen, and P. E. Hirvonen, "Mathematics Student Teachers' Epistemological Beliefs about the Nature of Mathematics and the Goals of Mathematics Teaching and Learning in the Beginning of Their Studies," *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, vol. 10, no. 2, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.12973/eurasia.2014.1028a.
- [32] J. Cady, S. L. Meier, and C. A. Lubinski, "Developing Mathematics Teachers: The Transition From Pre-service to Experienced Teacher," *J Educ Res*, vol. 99, no. 5, pp. 295–306, May 2006, doi: 10.3200/JOER.99.5.295-306.
- [33] S. D. Szydlik, "Beliefs of liberal arts mathematics students regarding the nature of mathematics," *Teaching Mathematics and its Applications*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 95–111, Sep. 2013, doi: 10.1093/teamat/hrt002.
- [34] C. Paolucci, "Changing perspectives: Examining the potential for advanced mathematical studies to influence pre-service teachers' beliefs about mathematics," *Teach Teach Educ*, vol. 49, pp. 97–107, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2015.03.002.
- [35] M. Liebendörfer and S. Schukajlow, "Interest development during the first year at university: do mathematical beliefs predict interest in mathematics?," *ZDM*, vol. 49, no. 3, pp. 355–366, Jun. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11858-016-0827-3.
- [36] B. Perry, D. Tracey, and P. Howard, "Head mathematics teachers' beliefs about the learning and teaching of mathematics," *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 39–53, Apr. 1999, doi: 10.1007/BF03217349.
- [37] E. D. Spangenberg and C. Myburgh, "Comparing South African Female and Male Pre-Service Teachers' Beliefs about the Nature of Mathematics," *Africa Education Review*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 140–155, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.1080/18146627.2017.1292828.
- [38] M. Muhtarom, D. Juniati, and T. Y. E. Siswono, "Exploring Prospective Teacher's Beliefs about Nature of Mathematics," *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 3547–3554, 2018, doi: 10.36478/jeasci.2018.3547.3554.
- [39] T. J. Cooney, "Conceptualizing Teachers' Ways of Knowing," in *Forms of Mathematical Knowledge*, Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 1999, pp. 163–187. doi: 10.1007/978-94-017-1584-3_8.
- [40] R. Even, "Using assessment to inform instructional decisions: How hard can it be?," *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 45–61, Oct. 2005, doi: 10.1007/BF03217421.
- [41] D. I. Cross, "Alignment, cohesion, and change: Examining mathematics teachers' belief structures and their influence on instructional practices," *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 325–346, Oct. 2009, doi: 10.1007/s10857-009-9120-5.
- [42] E. Zakaria and N. Musiran, "Beliefs about the Nature of Mathematics, Mathematics Teaching and Learning Among Trainee Teachers," *The Social Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 346–351, Apr. 2010, doi: 10.3923/sscience.2010.346.351.
- [43] E. Zakaria and S. M. Maat, "Mathematics Teachers' Beliefs and Teaching Practices," *J Math Stat*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 191–194, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.3844/jmssp.2012.191.194.
- [44] Y. Wahyu, D. Suryadi, and S. Darwis, "Examining pre-service elementary school teacher beliefs and instructional practices in mathematics class," *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 629–642, 2016, [Online]. Available: <https://www.iejee.com/index.php/IEJEE/article/view/137>
- [45] L. Xu and D. Clarke, "Meta-rules of discursive practice in mathematics classrooms from Seoul, Shanghai and Tokyo," *ZDM*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 61–72, Mar. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11858-012-0442-x.
- [46] Safrudiannur and B. Rott, "Offering an Approach to Measure Beliefs Quantitatively: Capturing the Influence of Students' Abilities on Teachers' Beliefs," *Int J Sci Math Educ*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 419–441, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10763-020-10063-z.
- [47] K. Engeln, M. Euler, and K. Maass, "Inquiry-based learning in mathematics and science: a comparative baseline study of teachers' beliefs and practices across 12 European countries," *ZDM*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 823–836, Nov. 2013, doi: 10.1007/s11858-013-0507-5.
- [48] J.-L. Dorian and F. J. García, "Challenges and opportunities for the implementation of inquiry-based learning in day-to-day teaching," *ZDM*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 837–849, Nov. 2013, doi: 10.1007/s11858-013-0512-8.
- [49] P. G. Liljedahl, "Mathematical discovery and affect: the effect of AHA! experiences on undergraduate mathematics students," *Int J Math Educ Sci Technol*, vol. 36, no. 2–3, pp. 219–234, Mar. 2005, doi: 10.1080/00207390412331316997.

Paper's should be the fewest possible that accurately describe ... (First Author)

- [50] Roch, Chouinard, Thierry, Karsenti, and Normand. Roy, "Relations among competence beliefs, utility value, achievement goals, and effort in mathematics," *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, vol. 77, no. 3, pp. 501–517, Sep. 2007, doi: 10.1348/000709906X133589.
- [51] R. A. Tarmizi and M. A. A. Tarmizi, "Analysis of mathematical beliefs of Malaysian secondary school students," *Procedia Soc Behav Sci*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 4702–4706, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.03.753.
- [52] C. M. Phelps, "Factors that pre-service elementary teachers perceive as affecting their motivational profiles in mathematics," *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 75, no. 3, pp. 293–309, Dec. 2010, doi: 10.1007/s10649-010-9257-2.
- [53] C. Benz, "Attitudes of Kindergarten Educators about Math," *Journal für Mathematik-Didaktik*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 203–232, Oct. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s13138-012-0037-7.
- [54] L. Ren and W. M. Smith, "Teacher characteristics and contextual factors: links to early primary teachers' mathematical beliefs and attitudes," *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 321–350, Aug. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10857-017-9365-3.
- [55] H. J. Forgasz, "Teachers and Computers for Secondary Mathematics," *Educ Inf Technol (Dordr)*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 111–125, 2002, doi: 10.1023/A:1020301626170.
- [56] Z. Lavicza, "Integrating technology into mathematics teaching at the university level," *ZDM*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 105–119, Feb. 2010, doi: 10.1007/s11858-009-0225-1.
- [57] D. Thurm and B. Barzel, "Effects of a professional development program for teaching mathematics with technology on teachers' beliefs, self-efficacy and practices," *ZDM*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 1411–1422, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1007/s11858-020-01158-6.
- [58] R. C. Smith, S. Kim, and L. McIntyre, "Relationships Between Prospective Middle Grades Mathematics Teachers' Beliefs and TPACK," *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 359–373, Oct. 2016, doi: 10.1080/14926156.2016.1189624.
- [59] A. Bennison and M. Goos, "Learning to teach mathematics with technology: A survey of professional development needs, experiences and impacts," *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 31–56, Apr. 2010, doi: 10.1007/BF03217558.
- [60] J. S. Lee and H. P. Ginsburg, "Early Childhood Teachers' Misconceptions about Mathematics Education for Young Children in the United States," *Australasian Journal of Early Childhood*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 37–45, Dec. 2009, doi: 10.1177/183693910903400406.
- [61] Y. W. Purnomo, "A Scale for Measuring Teachers' Mathematics-Related Beliefs: A Validity and Reliability Study," *International Journal of Instruction*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 23–38, Apr. 2017, doi: 10.12973/iji.2017.10120a.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Muhtarom is an Assistant Professor and Teacher Educator at the Universitas PGRI Semarang. He is research interests focus on issues in teacher and teacher education, mathematics education, higher education, 21st Century teaching and learning, school-based assessment, beliefs, problem-solving, and metacognition. Dr. Muhtarom received a doctorate at the Universitas Negeri Surabaya in 2019. He can be contacted at email: muhtarom@upgris.ac.id.



Sutrisno received a Bachelor of Education (S.Pd.) degree in Mathematics Education from the IKIP PGRI Semarang in 2011. The Master of Education (M.Pd.) degree in mathematics education was obtained from Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta in 2013 with the Cumlaude predicate. Since 2014, he has been a lecturer at the Mathematics Education Department, Universitas PGRI Semarang. He is pursuing a doctoral program in mathematics education at Universitas Negeri Surabaya. He can be contacted at email: sutrisnoj@upgris.ac.id.



Nizaruddin received a Ph.D. degree in education from the Universitas Negeri Semarang. He has over 20 years of experience as an Academician with the Universitas PGRI Semarang. His current research interest includes students' learning and development at various levels and areas of education. His publication topics include educational courses, math translation, multi-representation mathematics concepts, and problem-solving. He can be contacted at email: nizaruddin@upgris.ac.id.



Yanuar Hery Murtianto received a Bachelor of Education (S.Pd.) degree in Mathematics Education from the IKIP PGRI Semarang in 2010. The Master of Education (M.Pd.) degree in mathematics education was obtained from Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta in 2012. Since 2013, he has been a lecturer at the Mathematics Education Department, Faculty of Mathematics, Natural Sciences, and Information Technology Education, Universitas PGRI Semarang. Currently, he is pursuing a doctoral program in mathematics education at Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta. He can be contacted at email: yanuarhery@upgris.ac.id.

Artikel IJERE 2023

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

14%

INTERNET SOURCES

14%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	lppm.itn.ac.id Internet Source	2%
2	link.springer.com Internet Source	2%
3	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	1%
4	www.scribd.com Internet Source	1%
5	Szydlik, S. D.. "Beliefs of liberal arts mathematics students regarding the nature of mathematics", Teaching Mathematics and its Applications, 2013. Publication	1%
6	go.gale.com Internet Source	1%
7	worldwidescience.org Internet Source	<1%
8	www.semanticscholar.org Internet Source	<1%

9	pdfs.semanticscholar.org Internet Source	<1 %
10	Deborah J Stipek, Karen B Givvin, Julie M Salmon, Valanne L MacGyvers. "Teachers' beliefs and practices related to mathematics instruction", Teaching and Teacher Education, 2001 Publication	<1 %
11	theses.gla.ac.uk Internet Source	<1 %
12	Roch. Chouinard. "Relations among competence beliefs, utility value, achievement goals, and effort in mathematics", British Journal of Educational Psychology, 09/2007 Publication	<1 %
13	ncert.nic.in Internet Source	<1 %
14	Margareta M. Thomson, Temple A. Walkowiak, Ashley N. Whitehead, Erin Huggins. "Mathematics teaching efficacy and developmental trajectories: A mixed-methods investigation of novice K-5 teachers", Teaching and Teacher Education, 2020 Publication	<1 %
15	Advances in Mathematics Education, 2012. Publication	<1 %

16

Christine M. Phelps. "Factors that pre-service elementary teachers perceive as affecting their motivational profiles in mathematics", *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 2010

Publication

<1 %

17

Achmad Buchori, Nyai Cintang. "The Influence of Powtoon-Assisted Group to Group Exchange and Powtoon-Assisted Talking Chips Learning Models in Primary Schools", *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, 2018

Publication

<1 %

18

ro.ecu.edu.au

Internet Source

<1 %

19

"Research in Mathematics Education in Australasia 2008–2011", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2012

Publication

<1 %

20

edulearn.intelektual.org

Internet Source

<1 %

21

Tatag Yuli Eko Siswono, Ahmad Wachidul Kohar, Ika Kurniasari, Sugi Hartono. "Inconsistency Among Beliefs, Knowledge, and Teaching Practice in Mathematical Problem Solving: A Case Study of a Primary Teacher", *Southeast Asian Mathematics Education Journal*, 2017

Publication

<1 %

22 Anastasios (Tasos) Barkatsas, John Malone. "A typology of mathematics teachers' beliefs about teaching and learning mathematics and instructional practices", Mathematics Education Research Journal, 2005
Publication <1 %

23 bch.cbd.int
Internet Source <1 %

24 doi.org
Internet Source <1 %

25 es.scribd.com
Internet Source <1 %

26 open.library.ubc.ca
Internet Source <1 %

27 repository.uhamka.ac.id
Internet Source <1 %

28 Hongbiao Yin, Lian Shi, Winnie Wing Yi Tam, Genshu Lu. "Linking university mathematics classroom environments to student achievement: The mediation of mathematics beliefs", Studies in Educational Evaluation, 2020
Publication <1 %

29 files.eric.ed.gov
Internet Source <1 %

30	iejee.com Internet Source	<1 %
31	makeitcount.aamt.edu.au Internet Source	<1 %
32	www.e-iji.net Internet Source	<1 %
33	Bharath Sriraman. "The influence of Platonism on mathematics research and theological beliefs", <i>Theology and Science</i> , 2004 Publication	<1 %
34	academic.oup.com Internet Source	<1 %
35	koreascience.kr Internet Source	<1 %
36	pajar.ejournal.unri.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
37	www.ijrte.org Internet Source	<1 %
38	Anne Bennison, Merrilyn Goos. "Learning to teach mathematics with technology: A survey of professional development needs, experiences and impacts", <i>Mathematics Education Research Journal</i> , 2010 Publication	<1 %

39

Berti Dyah Permatasari, Gunarhadi
Gunarhadi, Riyadi Riyadi. "The influence of
problem based learning towards social
science learning outcomes viewed from
learning interest", International Journal of
Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE),
2019

Publication

<1 %

40

Kim Beswick, Olive Chapman. "International
Handbook of Mathematics Teacher Education:
Volume 4", Brill, 2020

Publication

<1 %

41

ejournal.unsri.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

42

ira.le.ac.uk

Internet Source

<1 %

43

vdoc.pub

Internet Source

<1 %

44

"Mathematics, Education and History",
Springer Science and Business Media LLC,
2018

Publication

<1 %

45

H. Kent Baker, Nitesh Pandey, Satish Kumar,
Arunima Haldar. "A bibliometric analysis of
board diversity: Current status, development,
and future research directions", Journal of
Business Research, 2020

<1 %

46 Maria Teresa Tatto, Michael C. Rodriguez, Mark Reckase. "Early career mathematics teachers: Concepts, methods, and strategies for comparative international research", Teaching and Teacher Education, 2020
Publication

47 merga.net.au
Internet Source

48 qspace.library.queensu.ca
Internet Source

49 [Advances in Mathematics Education, 2015.](#)
Publication

50 Constantinos Xenofontos. "Greek-Cypriot elementary teachers' epistemological beliefs about mathematics", Teaching and Teacher Education, 2018
Publication

51 Lixin Ren, Wendy M. Smith. "Teacher characteristics and contextual factors: links to early primary teachers' mathematical beliefs and attitudes", Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, 2017
Publication

52 [Selected Regular Lectures from the 12th International Congress on Mathematical Education, 2015.](#)

53

Tzu-Chi Yang, Jian-Hua Chen. "Pre-service teachers' perceptions and intentions regarding the use of chatbots through statistical and lag sequential analysis", *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2022

Publication

<1 %

54

"Inside the Mathematics Class", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018

Publication

<1 %

55

"Research in Mathematics Education in Australasia 2012-2015", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2016

Publication

<1 %

56

"Theorizing and Measuring Affect in Mathematics Teaching and Learning", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2020

Publication

<1 %

57

Erica Dorethea Spangenberg, Chris Myburgh. "Comparing South African Female and Male Pre-Service Teachers' Beliefs about the Nature of Mathematics", *Africa Education Review*, 2017

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On