



sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

Reviewer's report_Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review

Valeriia Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org>
Kepada: sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

9 Oktober 2023 pukul 20.41

Dear Dr Sutrisno,

Hope you are doing well.

Please find the reviewer's report and the editorial note on your paper "*Competitive Social Capital in Improving SMEs Performance: Role of Knowledge Donating and Knowledge Collecting*" submitted to the journal *Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review* attached below. The paper requires certain adjustments before it can be accepted.

Please, be so kind as to address the comments provided by the reviewer and resubmit the paper by **October 23**.

Please *highlight* all modifications to be introduced in the paper (with some colour, if possible) or please provide a table/a letter to the reviewer that includes information how the reviewer's comments were addressed.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Please kindly confirm the receipt of this email.

Kind regards,
Valeriia

пн, 14 септ. 2023 р. о 18:18 Valeriia Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org> пише:
Dear Dr Sutrisno,

Thank you for your prompt reply.

In that case, we will arrange your paper for the double-blind peer review and will get back to you with the results and further information in about 5-7 weeks.

If you have any questions, don't hesitate to contact me.

Have a nice day!

Best regards,
Valeriia

пн, 14 септ. 2023 р. о 16:19 sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id> пише:

Dear Editor,

I am hoping for a January 2024 publication schedule in *Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review*. The schedule is suitable for my academic purposes. Please consider.

Best regards

Pada tanggal Sen, 14 Agu 2023 pukul 18.46 Valeriia Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org> menulis:

Dear Dr Sutrisno,

I am writing in case you haven't received my previous email.

Thank you for your submission.

Please be informed that the earliest available publication date is in 2024. Please let me know if this timeline suits you, and the editorial team will analyze whether your paper is suitable for any of our journals.

Thank you in advance and I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best regards,
Valeriia

сб, 12 септ. 2023 р. о 17:37 sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id> пише:

TITLE:

"Competitive Social Capital in Improving SMEs Performance: Role of Knowledge Donating and Knowledge Collecting"

Name: Sutrisno

Affiliation: Department of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas PGRI Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia

Email: sutrisno@upgris.ac.id

Dear Editor,

With great respect, I, Dr Sutrisno, as the author of this article would like to request that my article be immediately processed for publication this year. This article has important significance in the context of Management and Business and will greatly contribute to the development of knowledge in this field.

I realise how valuable the review and editing process is in maintaining the quality of articles published by Virtus Interpress. However, taking into account the importance of this article in terms of my academic standing, I would like to humbly request special consideration to expedite the process.

Sincerely,
Dr Sutrisno



Reviewer's Report_CGOBR_Sutrisno.pdf

138K

Reviewer's Report

Journal:	Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review		
Title of the paper:	Competitive Social Capital in Improving SMEs Performance: Role of Knowledge Donating and Knowledge Collecting		
Date of the Review completion:	06 October 2023		
Please choose options that can characterize the paper:			
Originality and importance of the paper to the field of research:	High		
The structure of the paper:	Is easy to follow and understand		
Please tick relevant for the abstract	The abstract provides an accurate summary of the manuscript (including aim, methods, key results and relevance of the study)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	The abstract contains unnecessary information (please explain)	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
	Is the abstract of appropriate size? (150-200 words)	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
Please tick relevant for the introduction	Does the introduction identify the purpose of the paper or hypothesis and set the paper within the broader research perspective?	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> no
	The introduction puts the rest of the paper into perspective (explains paper's structure)	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
Methods used in the paper:	Suit the aim of the research		
	Does the methodology part allow replicating or reproducing results (to check them or to perform a similar study)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	If empirical study: is the sample size large enough and was selected in an appropriate way (leave blank if not acceptable)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
Results and discussion:	Are the interpretations provided by the author(s) supported by the findings obtained in the study?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
Are there any figures or tables that have to be corrected / deleted?	Yes, some corrections need to be done (please explain)		
	Are the figures and/or tables clear and you can understand their essence?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
Conclusions:	Do not reveal main findings of the paper		
	Conclusions are supported by the findings, analysis and interpretations of the author(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	Does the conclusion section repeat the abstract of the paper?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
References	Are all references in the list used in the paper?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
	Are the number, relevance and "age" of the citations appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> no
Language of the paper:	Is fine, not serious corrections needed		
Length of the paper:	Is appropriate		
What is your main verdict?	Accept paper with the changes that should be made by the author/authors		
Field for the comments of the reviewer:			
<p>The paper is appropriate but the issue is based on the introduction. Firstly, the introduction is too lengthy and is not clear enough. The objectives of the paper should be re- drafted and more convincing.</p> <p>On the other hand, the literature review is well written and explicit. Appropriate empirical evidences have been employed for each of the respective theories. Explanation of hypothesis testing provided.</p> <p>The research methodology was explicit. Results and discussion were well interpreted with adequate use of empirical evidences. Good presentation of the study.</p> <p>Conclusion and recommendation of the study seem to be appropriate. More implications on this study should be provided for the stakeholders.</p>			

Editorial Note

- 1) The length of the paper should amount to no more than 10,000 words.
- 2) Please add at least 3 JEL classification codes for your research. You may use the link: <https://www.aeaweb.org/jel/guide/jel.php>
- 3) It is recommended including Acknowledgements that recognise the importance of contributions made by other researchers to the paper submitted (that have not been included in the paper authorship) or organisations (universities, grants numbers, etc.) which provided funds for conducting the research (if any).
- 4) The abstract is too *long*, the appropriate length would be 150–200 words.
- 5) In order to enhance the readability of the manuscript, please provide in the section «Abstract» shorter description of the research problem, purpose of the research, methodology used, main findings of the paper, conclusion, relevance of the paper.
- 6) It is preferable that the “Abstract” contains 1–2 in-text citations of the sources mentioned in the list of references that the research is based on or that the research contributes to.
- 7) In the “Introduction” section please add one more paragraph describing in detail the general structure of the paper.
(*e.g., The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 reviews the relevant literature. Section 3 analyses the methodology that has been used to conduct empirical research on...*).
This paragraph should be placed at the very end of the Introduction.
- 8) Please reduce the list of references up to 80 sources as the current list of references seems to be a bit redundant and it shifts the focus away from the main idea and research questions of the article.
- 9) Please note that self-citation is not appreciated by Scopus. Therefore, it is recommended to exclude the references by the author.
- 10) Please make sure that all the references cited in the paper are included in the reference list and all the sources in the reference list are properly cited in the paper.



sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

2_Reviewer's report_Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review

Valeria Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org>
Kepada: sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

1 November 2023 pukul 20.20

Dear Dr Sutrisno,
thank you again for the provided revised version of the paper "*Competitive Social Capital in Improving SMEs Performance: Role of Knowledge Donating and Knowledge Collecting*" and your work.
Still, the paper requires a few more corrections before it may be accepted, namely:

1. The length of the paper should amount to no more than 10,000 words.
2. Please add at least 3 JEL classification codes for your research. You may use the link: <https://www.aeaweb.org/jel/guide/jel.php>
3. In the "Introduction" section please add one more paragraph describing in detail the general structure of the paper (e.g., *The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 reviews the relevant literature. Section 3 analyses the methodology that has been used to conduct empirical research on...*). This paragraph should be placed at the very end of the Introduction.
4. Please reduce the list of references up to 80 sources as the current list of references seems to be a bit redundant and it shifts the focus away from the main idea and research questions of the article.
5. Please note that self-citation is not appreciated by Scopus. Therefore, it is recommended to exclude the references by the author.

Please be so kind as to send your final revised manuscript by **November 10**.

I am looking forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,
Valeria

вт, 10 жовт. 2023 р. о 15:10 Valeria Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org> пише:

Dear Dr. Sutrisno,

Thank you for your email and provided revised manuscript.
The editorial team will analyse it, and I'll contact you with a decision of the editorial team as soon as possible.
Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Kind regards,
Valeria

вт, 10 жовт. 2023 р. о 07:47 sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id> пише:

Dear Editor,

I Am happy to report that I have successfully revised my manuscript in accordance with the directions of the journal reviewers/editors. I have carefully considered each comment and suggestion, and implemented the necessary changes in my manuscript. The corrected sentences are marked in yellow.

Thank you for this opportunity. I believe this revision has met the journal's standards and is ready for further processing. Please find attached my revised manuscript.

Sincerely,
Dr. Sutrisno

Pada Sen, 9 Okt 2023 pukul 23.51 Valeria Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org> menulis:

Dear Dr Sutrisno,

Thank you for your response.
Looking forward to hearing from you soon.

Best regards,
Valeria

пн, 9 жовт. 2023 р. о 17:08 sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id> пише:



sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

2_Reviewer's report_Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review

sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

4 November 2023 pukul 13.29

Kepada: Valeriia Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org>

Dear Editor,

I am pleased to report that I have successfully revised my manuscript in accordance with the **latest directions** from the journal reviewers/editors. I have carefully considered each comment and suggestion, and implemented the necessary changes in my manuscript. The corrected sentences are marked in yellow.

Thank you for this opportunity. I believe this revision has met the journal's standards and is ready for further processing. Please find attached my revised manuscript.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sutrisno

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



DR SUTRISNO_Competitive Social Capital in Improving SMEs performance - Role of Knowledge Donating and Knowledge Collecting.docx

270K



sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

2_Reviewer's report_Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review

Valeriia Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org>
Kepada: sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

6 November 2023 pukul 17.03

Dear Dr. Sutrisno,

Thank you for your email and provided revised manuscript.
The editorial team will analyse it, and I'll contact you with a final decision of the editorial team shortly.
Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Kind regards,
Valeriia

сб, 4 лист. 2023 р. о 08:29 sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id> пише:
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

2_Reviewer's report_Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review

sutrisno upgris <sutrisno@upgris.ac.id>

6 November 2023 pukul 17.23

Kepada: Valeriia Syromlia <cb@virtusinterpress.org>

Thank you very much for your feedback.

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]