

p-ISSN: 2086-5872 (print) e-ISSN: 2540-7562 (online)



Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika UIN Raden Intan Lampung Jurnal Al-Jabar Volume 11, Nomor 1, Juni 2020

Terbit dua kali setahun pada bulan Juni dan Desember. Jurnal ini berisi tulisan yang di angkat dari hasil pemikiran dan hasil penilitan di bidang matematika dan pendidikan matematika.

Penanggung Jawab LP2M UIN Raden Intan Lampung

#### Pimpinan Redaksi

Fredi Ganda Putra

Managing Ediotr M. Syazali

#### **Tim Editor**

Santi Widyawati Nanang Supriadi Dian Anggraini Rofiqul Umam Al-Jupri

#### Penelaah (Mitra Bestari)

Rofiqul Umam (Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan), Aji Raditya (Universitas Muhammadiyah Tangerang), Mustafa Usman (Universitas Lampung), Muhammad Ilyas, (University of Newcastle, Australia)

#### Setting/Layout

Kenny Candra Pradana

Alamat: Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika. Fakultas Tarbiyah dan Keguruan, UIN Raden Intan Lampung. Jl. H. Endro Suratmin Sukarame Bandar Lampung, Lampung 35131.

Penyunting menerima tulisan yang belum diterbitkan dalam media lain. Naskah diketik di kertas A4 spasi 1.15, dengan format yang tercantum pada format penulisan JURNAL AL-JABAR di halaman website jurnal ini. Naskah yang masuk akan dievaluasi dan disunting untuk kesesuaian format, istilah, dan penulisan lainnya.

### **DAFTAR ISI**

|  | Halaman |
|--|---------|
|  |         |

| Meta-Analysis: the Effect of Problem Approach and Inquiry Approach<br>Toward Students' Mathematical Critical Thinking Skill Over the Past 4 Years   |
|---|
| Ida Dwijayanti, Aryo Andri Nugroho, & Yogi Indah Pratiwi  |
| Web-Based Learning Media Assisted By Powtoon in Basic Mathematics 11<br>Course  |
| vera Dewi Susanu, 111 Anuari, & Angga Fenuy Harenza   |
| The Application of the Accelerated Learning Cycle, Brain-based Learning<br>Model, and Direct Instruction Model toward Mathematical Reasoning in<br>Terms of Mathematical Communication<br><b>Arie Purwa Kusuma, Nurina Kurniasari Rahmawati, &amp; Ramadoni</b> |
|   |
| Developing Islamic Context-Based Learning Materials in Increasing 29<br>Students' Mathematical Understanding<br>Maria Ulpah & Ifada Novikasari  |
| Kahoot-Based Learning Game to Improve Mathematics Learning Motivation39of Elementary School StudentsAdi Setiawan & Soeharto   |
| Students' Intuitive and Analytical Thinking in the Mathematics Study through49the Integration of STAD and Environmental Islamic Jurisprudence (Fiqh)49Abdillah, Ajeng Gelora Mastuti, Muhammad Rijal, & Muhajir Abd. Rahman49                                   |
| Improvement of Creative Thinking Ability through Problem-Based Learning61with Local Culture Based on Students' Gender and Prior Mathematics Ability81Rahmi Ramadhani, Fajri Farid, Fitria Lestari, & Amir Machmud81   |
| Students' Cognitive Barrier in Problem Solving: Picture-based Problem-73solvingA.Wilda Indra Nanna & Enditiyas Pratiwi  |
| Climber Prospective Teacher: Relationship Beliefs and Mathematics 83<br>Teaching Practice<br><b>Muhtarom, Tatag Yuli Eko Siswono &amp; Dwi Juniati</b>  |
| Extended F-Expansion Method for Solving "the modified Korteweg-de Vries93(mKdV) Equation"Vina Apriliani, Ikhsan Maulidi & Budi Azhari   |

Hypothetical learning trajectory (HLT) for proof logic topics on algebra 101 course: What're the experts think about?

Riza Agustiani & Rahmat Nursalim

Students' Creative Thinking Skill in Solving Higher Order Thinking Skills 111 (HOTS) ProblemsM. Zaivar & Irfan Rusmar

Problem Based Learning with a Scientific Approach with Character in 121 Mathematics Learning Ahmat Wakit & R. Hadapiningradja Kusumodestoni

Students' Mental Construction in Cube and Cuboid Concepts Based on 133 Mathematical Ability Differences

Imam Rofiki, Ahmad Choirul Anam, Putri Eka Sari, Wahyu Henky Irawan & Ika Santia

Relationship between Cooperative learning method and Students' 145 Mathematics Learning Achievement: A Meta-Analysis Correlation **Dafid Slamet Setiana, Muhammad Irfan Rumasoreng & Anggit Prabowo** 

Mathematical Problem Solving Capabilities: The Impact of Search Solve 159 Create Share and Think Pair Share learning models on Logarithmic Lesson Syahfitri Purnama, Muawanah, Rika Septianingsih & Tista Maya Surati

Mathematical problem-solving abilities and reflective thinking abilities: The 167 impact of the influence of eliciting activities models Suci Hartati, Ratu Ayu Bilqis & Achi Rinaldi

The Use of Monte Carlo Method to Model the Aggregate Loss Distribution179Rafika Septiany, Berlian Setiawaty & I Gusti Putu Purnaba

#### **KATA PENGANTAR**

Assalamu'alaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh.

Puji syukur kita panjatkan kehadirat Allah SWT, yang senantiasa memberikan rahmat dan hidayah-Nya kepada kita. Shalawat dan salam senantiasa selalu tercurahkan kepada Nabi Muhammad SAW, termasuk kepada ummatnya sampai akhir zaman. Alhamdulillah berkat rahmat dan hidayah-Nya, jurnal Al-Jabar Volume 11, Nomor 1 tahun 2020 dapat diterbitkan.

Penerbitan Jurnal Al-Jabar ini berangkat dari suatu pemikiran bahwa matematika merupakan mata pelajaran penting, tidak saja karena kegunaannya dalam kehidupan praktis sehari-hari. Berpikir secara sistematis hal yang sangat berguna dalam kehidupan sehari-hari dan dalam mempelajari ilmu sering disebut sebagai salah satu hasil belajar matematika.

Upaya penerbitan karya ilmiah para dosen dilingkungan Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika melalui Jurnal Al-Jabar dua kali dalam setahun, diharapkan bahwa ilmu pendidikan matematika dapat bekembang, baik aspek dimensi teori maupun dimensi praktek dalam kehidupan sehari-hari. Atas dasar itu, maka prodi matematika akan berusaha untuk menerbitkan hasil beberapa karya ilmiah para dosen prodi matematika dalam bentuk jurnal.

Akhirnya pimpinan redaksi mengucapkan terimakasih kepada para penulis karya ilmiah ini, semoga bermanfaat adanya dan kepada para pembaca selamat menelaah dan jangan lupa masukkan kritik dan saran yang membangun, supaya jurnal ini semakin berbobot dan bermanfaat.

Wassalamu'alaikum Warahmatullahi Wabarakatuh.

Penyunting





#### Meta-Analysis: the Effect of Problem Approach and Inquiry Approach Toward Students' Mathematical Critical Thinking Skill Over the Past 4 Years

#### Ida Dwijayanti<sup>1</sup>, Aryo Andri Nugroho<sup>2</sup>, Yogi Indah Pratiwi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Faculty of Mathematics Education, Natural Sciences, and Information Technology, Universitas PGRI Semarang institution, Indonesia

| Article Info   | Abstract   |
|--|--|
| Submitted : 10 – 05 – 2019                               | Several studies have been conducted to improve the critical-thinking         |
| <i>Revised</i> : 11-04-2020                              | ability through various models, including problem approach and inquiry       |
| Accepted : 12 – 04 – 2020                                | approach. The purpose of this study is to analyze which model is the         |
| Published : 16 – 06 – 2020                               | most influential to improve students' critical-thinking skills in the last 4 |
|  | years of research. This type of research is a mixed-method (quantitative-    |
|  | qualitative which, involved 230 students in the classroom with the           |
| *Correspondence: <sup>1</sup> idadwijayanti@upgris.ac.id | problem approach and 263 students with the inquiry approach. The data        |
|  | collection technique used was the documentation of research reports.         |
|  | The data analysis used was meta-analysis through effect size calculation     |
|  | and Z-test. The result shows that the problem approach has a greater         |
|  | influence on students' critical thinking skills than the inquiry approach.   |
|  | This is indicated by the average values of the effect size and Z-test        |
|  | respectively 0.9685 and 83.122 for the problem approach and 0.7207           |
|  | and 77.162 for the inquiry approach.   |
|  |  |
|  | Keywords: Critical Thinking; Meta-Analysis; Inquiry Approach; and            |
|  | Problem Approach.  |
|  |  |

http://ejournal.radenintan.ac.id/index.php/al-jabar/index

#### Introduction

The industrial era 4.0 carries the concept of human-centered community technology and collaborates with technology to solve social problems (Shannon, 2008). For that, the development of capabilities that play a role in problem-solving becomes unavoidable. An important ability that must be possessed by students in the problems solving process is the critical-thinking ability (Johnson, 2009; Bermingham, 2015; Kivunja, 2015; Zare & Othman, 2015). The Ministry of National Education emphasizes that one of the graduation standards for junior and senior high school students in the development of their critical thinking skills. the development of critical thinking skills becomes the focus of learning and becomes one of the graduation standards for middle and high school students (MONE, 2013). Critical thinking skills can be developed through learning strategies that prioritize problem-based learning, exploration, or discovery (Zakaria, 2015). Therefore, teachers can create learning strategies with nuances of exploration, discovery, or problem-solving. Such nuances in learning are known as learning approaches (Dwijayanti, 2018).

The problem approach is the nuance of learning that provides a learning environment with problems as the basis. It means learning begins with problems that must be solved (Yousefi & Mohammadi, 2016; Fauziah, Abdullah, and Hakim 2013; Herman 2007; Nurdyansyah 2018; Permana and Sumarmo 2007). Basically, in the problem approach, the students are asked to be more active in finding answers to problems given by the teacher. The problem is used as a

context for students to learn critical thinking and problems solving skills, as well as to obtain essential knowledge and concepts from the subject matter (Brown & Walter, 2014). By solving these problems, students can build their knowledge while developing critical thinking skills and problems solving skills. The inquiry approach is a nuance of learning that facilitates activities that focus on the search for knowledge or understanding to satisfy curiosity (Artigue & Blomhøj, 2013). Furthermore, the inquiry approach is a series of learning activities that maximally involve all students' abilities to search and investigate systematically, critically, logically, and analytically so that they can formulate their findings with confidence (Goodchild, Fuglestad, & Jaworski, 2013).

Various studies have examined the effect of problem approaches on critical thinking skills, including Hipziyah (2014) who concludes that the problems solving learning model can improve students' critical thinking skills through classroom action research. Octaria (2018) concludes that the inquiry approach with the process-oriented guided-inquiry learning (POGIL) model was effective in increasing students' critical thinking skills. Hoe & Chun (2018) and Suarsana (2019) examine the effects of problem-posing and problem-solving on creativity using a problem approach based on the investigation. The results show a significant effect of the application of the model on the students' ability and creativity.

Research on the use of an inquiry approach to improving critical thinking skills has also been carried out. Huda & Batlolona (2017) examine the effectiveness of inquiry and discovery learning on students' critical thinking skills. Through the ANOVA test, it can be concluded that the two models are more effective in improving students' critical thinking skills compared to conventional learning. Robi (2018) researched the analysis of the effect of discovery models on students' critical thinking skills. The critical thinking indicators used to refer to the critical thinking indicator P21 (having effective reasoning, using a thinking system, and making judgments and decisions). From the results of this study, it can be concluded that the application of discovery learning has a positive effect on developing students' critical thinking skills in solving two-dimensional arithmetic problems.

There are many studies out there that have proven the superiority of both approaches in improving students' critical thinking skills. The weaknesses of this study are the limited time of research and the absence of research sustainability. Also, this study uses a separate method to improve students' creative thinking abilities. The novelty of this research lies in the effectiveness of the problem approach and the discovery approach toward critical thinking skills over the past 4 years. One way that can be used to determine the magnitude of the influence each year is by conducting a meta-analysis method.

Meta-analysis is a method used to summarize and obtain the essence of the findings of several studies. In other words, meta-analysis is a technique summarizing from several similar studies to obtain quantitative data (Means, 2010; Cheung and Slavin, 2013). Conducting a meta-analysis of a research result is to draw a general conclusion from the results of research. The results obtained in each study are converted into the effect size (EZ). An effect size is a quantitative index that is used to summarize the study results in a meta-analysis. Effect size reflects the magnitude of the relationship between variables in each study. The relationship between meta-analysis and effect size is that meta-analysis is used to combine various research results and then combine them to find the combined effect size. The estimated value of the combined effect size is obtained based on the model used (Cheung and Slavin, 2013). Meta-

analysis research has been used in learning mathematics. Peng (2016) uses a meta-analysis method on 110 studies to find out the correlation between working memory and mathematical ability. Kul (2018) uses a meta-analysis on 54 studies to determine the effectiveness of learning materials and mathematics classes. In Indonesia, Nugroho (2020) uses meta-analysis to analyze the effect of discovery-based learning and environment-based learning on problem-solving abilities.

Research report documents as the focus of this research are studies that have been reported for the past 4 years. The problem approach is applied in a problem-based learning model while the inquiry approach is applied in the discovery of learning and inquiry learning models. Based on the background, it is necessary to analyze to find out the comparison of the effect of the problem approach and inquiry approach on students' critical thinking skills over the past 4 years.

#### the Research Methods

This study employed a mixed-method (quantitative-qualitative). The populations of this study were research reports in the form of a thesis or scientific journal within the last 4 years (2015-2018) which include the number of students, the average experimental and control class and the standard deviation of the control class. The samples used were theses or scientific journals with the problem approach and inquiry approach as the themes that affect the students' ability to think critically from several universities in Semarang. The sampling technique used was the purposive sampling technique.

The main instrument was the researcher because the researcher is responsible for planning, carrying out data collection, analyzing, and also reporting. Supporting instruments were observation guidelines and data collection aids. The procedures of the study were to determine the research domain, choose the type of publication, collect research results, record the data, calculate the effect sizes and test the average differences, and make reports. The data collection method used was the documentation technique while the data analysis method used was Meta-analysis. Besides, Z-test was performed as a comparison between meta-analysis and the ANOVA test to determine the comparative magnitude of the influence of the two approaches each year. To get a more complete description, especially data about the factors that affect students' critical thinking skills, a documentation study was conducted on the researchers' report on the implementation of the research and the results of the calculated effect sizes. The analysis of data about factors that influence the superiority of an approach in improving students' critical thinking skills is analyzed through descriptive methods.

The effect size calculation (Fritz, Morris, & Richler, 2012) was done using the following formula:

$$\Delta = \frac{\bar{X}_E - \bar{X}_K}{S_K}$$

With  $\Delta$  : effect size

| $\overline{X}_E$ | : the average | of the experimental | group |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------|
|------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------|

 $\bar{X}_{K}$  : the average of the control group

 $S_K$  : standard deviation of the control group

with the effect size criteria as follows.

 $0 < ES \le 0.2$  : Small effect size  $0.2 < ES \le 0.8$  : Medium effect size  $0.8 < ES \le 2$  : High effect size

#### the Results of the Research and the Discussion

The results of the calculation of the effect size each year and the average effect size in the last 4 years for the problem approach and the inquiry approach can be seen in Table 1.

|         |                  | 8                |
|---------|------------------|------------------|
| Year    | Problem Approach | Inquiry Approach |
| 2015    | O,713            | 1,013            |
| 2016    | 1,553            | 0,510            |
| 2017    | 0,846            | 0,685            |
| 2018    | 0,669            | 0,675            |
| Average | 0,945            | 0,721            |

| Table 1. Average Effect Size Value of the Approaches' Effect on Stude | nts |
|---|-----|
| Mathematical Critical Thinking Skill                                  |     |

Table 1 shows that the average effect size in the last 4 years for the problem approach is 0.945 and the inquiry approach is 0.720. This shows that from 2015 to 2018, the use of problem approaches was better to improve students' creative thinking abilities compared to the inquiry approach.

The validity tests of the calculation results were carried out by conducting an ANOVA test to measure the difference in the average value of students' critical thinking skills annually and the Z-test to measure the average difference in the value of students' critical thinking skills over the past 4 years. However, before the ANOVA test was carried out. the researchers ensured that the data was normally distributed to further test its homogeneity. Based on the documentation study, it can be seen that each data was normally distributed so that the normality test was not carried out. The homogeneity test was carried out with the results as shown in Table 2.

| Years | Learning<br>Approaches               | Variances            | α  | <b>F</b> observed | <b>F</b> <sub>critical</sub> | Conclusion  |
|-------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| 2015  | Problem approach<br>Inquiry approach | 101,7645<br>94,769   | 5% | 1,0738            | 1,6477                       | Homogeneous |
| 2016  | Problem approach<br>Inquiry approach | 81,0016<br>70,7667   | 5% | 1,144             | 1,6251                       | Homogeneous |
| 2017  | Problem approach                     | 146,7957<br>111.0578 | 5% | 1,3217            | 1,6430                       | Homogeneous |
| 2018  | Problem approach<br>Inquiry approach | 106,6286<br>181,2842 | 5% | 1,7001            | 1,7320                       | Homogeneous |

#### Table 2. Homogeneity Test in Each Year

Table 2 shows that each year, the data of students' critical thinking skills come from populations with homogeneous variants so that further comparative tests can be carried out. The comparative test results of the average critical thinking skills of students in the class using a problem approach and the class using the inquiry.

| Voor |    | DK      |       | E E               |                   | Conclusion               |
|------|----|---------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Tear | u  | (k - 1) | (N-k) | <b>F</b> observed | <b>r</b> critical | Conclusion               |
| 2015 | 5% | 3       | 122   | 5,358             | 2,678             | the average is different |
| 2016 | 5% | 3       | 129   | 27,958            | 2,674             | the average is different |
| 2017 | 5% | 3       | 123   | 2,737             | 2,678             | the average is different |
| 2018 | 5% | 3       | 103   | 11,188            | 2,692             | the average is different |

| Table 3. Comparative Test Results on Average Mathematical Critical Thinking Skills of |
|---|
| Students in Each Year   |

Table 3 shows that from 2015 to 2018, there were differences in the average critical thinking skills of students in the class using the problem approach and the class using the inquiry approach so that further testing is needed to find out which is more influential each year. By assuming the average critical thinking skills of students in the class using a problem approach is  $\mu_1$  and the average critical thinking skills of students in the class using the inquiry approach is  $\mu_2$ , then the results of post-ANOVA test calculations were performed using the Schefee 'method successively as can be seen in Table 4, Table 5, Table 6 and Table 7.

| Comparison       | Fobserved | Conclusion      |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$ | 0,120     | $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$ | 11,921    | $\mu_1 > \mu_3$ |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_4$ | 0,260     | $\mu_1 = \mu_4$ |
| $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$ | 10,196    | $\mu_2 > \mu_3$ |
| $\mu_2 vs \mu_4$ | 0,026     | $\mu_2 = \mu_4$ |
| $\mu_3 vs \mu_4$ | 9,925     | $\mu_3 > \mu_4$ |

 Table 4. Post ANOVA Test in 2015

Table 4 shows that the problem approach is better than the inquiry approach in increasing the effect on students' critical thinking skills in 2015.

| Table 5. Post ANOVA Test in 2016 |                   |                 |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Comparison                       | <b>F</b> observed | Conclusion      |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$                 | 0,086             | $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$                 | 18,858            | $\mu_1 > \mu_3$ |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_4$                 | 51,150            | $\mu_1 > \mu_4$ |  |  |
| $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$                 | 27,436            | $\mu_2 > \mu_3$ |  |  |
| $\mu_2 vs \mu_4$                 | 63,297            | $\mu_2 > \mu_4$ |  |  |
| $\mu_3 vs \mu_4$                 | 10,422            | $\mu_3 > \mu_4$ |  |  |

## Table 5 shows that the problem approach is better than the inquiry approach in increasing the effect on students' critical thinking skills in 2016.

| Table 6. Post ANOVA Test in 2017 |                   |                 |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Comparison                       | <b>F</b> observed | Conclusion      |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$                 | 2,550             | $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$                 | 0,577             | $\mu_1 = \mu_3$ |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_4$                 | 1,050             | $\mu_1 = \mu_4$ |  |  |
| $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$                 | 5,760             | $\mu_2 = \mu_3$ |  |  |
| $\mu_2 vs \mu_4$                 | 6,951             | $\mu_2 = \mu_4$ |  |  |
| $\mu_3 vs \mu_4$                 | 0,082             | $\mu_3 = \mu_4$ |  |  |

Table 6 shows that the problem approach is better than the inquiry approach in increasing the effect on students' critical thinking skills in 2017.

| Table 7.Post ANOVA Test2018 |                   |                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Comparison                  | <b>F</b> observed | Conclusion          |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_2$            | 3,42              | $\mu_1 = \mu_2$     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$            | 1,113             | $\mu_1 = \mu_3$     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mu_1 vs \mu_4$            | 19,97             | $\mu_1 > \mu_4$     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$            | 7,641             | $\mu_2 = \mu_3$     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mu_2 vs \mu_4$            | 5,042             | $\mu_2 = \mu_4$     |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mu_3 vs \mu_4$            | 29,336            | $\mu_{3} > \mu_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

### Table 7.Post ANOVA Test2018

Table 7 shows that the problem approach is better than the inquiry approach in increasing the effect on students' critical thinking skills in 2018.

The analysis of students' average critical thinking skills in the last 4 years was conducted to find out whether the average learning outcomes using the problem approach was better than the inquiry approach toward critical thinking skills. The Z-test results can be seen in Table 8.

# Table 8. the Average Different Test Of Students' Mathematical Critical Thinking SkillsOver the Past 4 Years

| Learning<br>Approaches | n   | Average | Variances | Zobserved | Zcritical | Conclusion               |
|------------------------|-----|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Problem approach       | 230 | 83,122  | 116,716   | 6,138     | 1,64      | The average is different |
| Inquiry approach       | 263 | 77,162  | 114,421   |           |           |                          |

Table 9 shows that over the past 4 years, the problem approach has had more influence on students' critical thinking skills when compared to the inquiry approach.

One of the factors that encourage students' critical thinking skills is the presentation of material that is related to real-world problems through the help of interesting media. However, some problems emerge because of the not-routine investigations done by the students. Material related to the real world allows students to visualize it easily. The ability to manipulate this visualization is needed in the critical thinking process as one of the higher cognitive abilities (Salazar, 2012; Kazak, 2015; Dwijayanti, 2019). Another supporting factor is motivation while the factors that inhibit students' critical thinking skills are their lack of curiosity. This causes the problem approach to have more opportunities to influence the improvement of students' thinking abilities. The problem-based approach is a learning environment with problems as the

basis (Yousefi & Mohammadi, 2016). The problem is used as a context for students to learn critical thinking and problem-solving skills, as well as to obtain essential knowledge and concepts from the subject matter (Brown & Walter, 2014). While the inquiry approach is learning that facilitates activities that focus on the search for knowledge or understanding to satisfy curiosity (Artigue & Blomhøj, 2013, Goodchild, Fuglestad, & Jaworski, 2013). If the students' curiosity is less developed, it is difficult for them to search and investigate systematically, critically, logically, and analytically, as well as to formulate their findings. This is by research conducted by Dwijayanti which states that the provision of problems can affect the improvement of HOTS abilities including the ability to think critically and creatively (Hipziyah, 2014; Dwijayanti, 2016; Octaria, 2018; Hoe & Chun, 2018; Suarsana, 2019).

Overall, the factors that encourage students' critical thinking skills are the presentation of material that is related to the real world through attractive media assistance although some problems emerge related to the non-routine investigation. On the other hand, the factors that hinder students 'critical thinking skills are unprepared strategies, inefficient time management, and unequal students' curiosity.

#### **Conclusion and Suggestion**

Based on the results of the study, it can be concluded that the problem approach has a greater influence on students' critical thinking skills than the inquiry approach. This is indicated by the average value of the effect size of the problem approach which is 0.9685 (the criterion of influence is high) and the inquiry approach which is 0.72075 (the criterion of influence is moderate). This calculation is supported by the results of calculations through the  $Z_{test}$  which produces  $Z_{observed} = 6.138 > 1.64 = Z_{critical}$ , with an average value of 83.122 for critical thinking skills in the problem approach and 77,162 in the inquiry approach. Other findings that can be obtained in this study are the factors that encourage and hinder the students' critical thinking skills, the factors that encourage students' critical thinking skills are the presentation of material that is related to the non-routine investigation. On the other hand, the factors that hinder students 'critical thinking skills are unprepared strategies, inefficient time management, and unequal students' curiosity.

It is suggested for the teachers to use the problem approach rather than the inquiry approach. As for the implementation of learning with the problem-based approach in learning, teachers should arrange a time as effectively as possible from the beginning to the end, reinforce students, and build good communication with students to develop students' curiosity.

#### References

- Artigue, M., & Blomhøj, M. (2013). Conceptualizing Inquiry-Based Education in Mathematics. ZDM, 45(6), 797-810.
- Bermingham, M. (2015). Clearing up "Critical Thinking": Its Four Formidable Features. *Creative Education*, 6(04), 421.
- Brown, S. I., & Walter, M. I. (2014). Problem Posing: Reflections and Applications. Psychology Press.

- Cheung, A. C., & Slavin, R. E. (2013). The Effectiveness of Educational Technology applications for Enhancing Mathematics Achievement in K-12 Classrooms: A meta-analysis. *Educational research review*, *9*, 88-113.
- Depdiknas. (2013). Kurikulum 2013. Depdiknas. Jakarta.
- Dwijayanti, I., Budayasa, I. K., & Siswono, T. Y. E. (2019). Students' Gestures in Understanding Algebraic Concepts. *Beta: Jurnal Tadris Matematika*, *12*(2), 133-143.
- Dwijayanti, I. (2018). Paradigma Pendidikan, Teori Belajar serta Aplikasi dalam Strategi Pembelajaran Matematika. Semarang: Universitas PGRI Press.
- Dwijayanti, I. (2016). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Matematika Berbasis Pendidikan Multikultural Menggunakan Socio Humanism. *JIPMat*, 1(1).
- Fauziah, Resti, Ade Gafar Abdullah, and Dadang Lukman Hakim. 2013. "Pembelajaran Saintifik Elektronika Dasar Berorientasi Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah." *Innovation of Vocational Technology Education* 9(2).
- Fritz, C. O., Morris, P. E., & Richler, J. J. (2012). Effect Size Estimates: Current Use, Calculations, and Interpretation. *Journal of experimental psychology: General*, 141(1), 2.
- Goodchild, S., Fuglestad, A. B., & Jaworski, B. (2013). Critical Alignment in Inquiry-based Practice in Developing Mathematics Teaching. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 84(3), 393-412.
- Heo, H. J., & CHun, B. A. (2018). Improving the Higher Order Thinking Skills using Flipped Learning: Focused on the in-Class Activities With Problem Posing and Solving. ASIA LIFE SCIENCES Supplement, 15(4), 2187-2199.
- Herman, Tatang. 2007. "Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Matematis Tingkat Tinggi Siswa Sekolah Menengah Pertama." *Educationist* 1(1):47–56.
- Hipziyah, S. (2014). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Problem Solving Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematis Siswa. Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.
- Hudha, M. N., & Batlolona, J. R. (2017). How are the Physics Critical Thinking Skills of the Students Taught by Using Inquiry-Discovery through Empirical and Theorethical Overview?. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 14(2), 691-697.
- Johnson, E. B. (2009). Contextual Teaching & Learning. Bandung: Mizan Learning Center.
- Kazak, S., Wegerif, R., & Fujita, T. (2015). The Importance of Dialogic Processes to Conceptual Development in Mathematics. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 90 (2), 105-120.
- Kivunja, C. (2015). Using de Bono's Six Thinking Hats Model to Teach Critical Thinking and Problem Solving Skills Essential for Success in The 21st Century Economy. *Creative Education*, 6(03), 380.

- Kul, Ü., Çelik, S., & Aksu, Z. (2018). The Impact of Educational Material Use on Mathematics Achievement: A Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(4), 303-324.
- Means, B., at.al. 2010. Evaluation of Evidence-Based Practies in Online Learning: A Meta Analysis and Review of Online Learning Studies. Washington DC: U.S Department Education.
- Nizaruddin, N., & Dwijayanti, I. (2012). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Matematika Humanistik Berideologi Pancasila Berbasis konstruktivis menggunakan ICT di SMP.
- Nugroho, A. A., Dwijayanti, I., & Atmoko, P. Y. (2020). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Penemuan dan Lingkungan terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Melalui Meta Analisis. *AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(1).
- Nurdyansyah, Nurdyansyah. 2018. "Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah Pada Pelajaran IPA Materi Komponen Ekosistem." *Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidoarjo*.
- Octaria, E. A. (2018). Pengaruh Model Process Oriented Guided Inquiry Learning (Pogil) Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematis. Universitas Islam Negeri (UIN) Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.
- Peng, P., Namkung, J., Barnes, M., & Sun, C. (2016). A Meta-Analysis of Mathematics and Working Memory: Moderating Effects of Working Memory Domain, Type of Mathematics Skill, and Sample Characteristics. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 108(4), 455.
- Permana, Yanto, and Utari Sumarmo. 2007. "Mengembangkan Kemampuan Penalaran Dan Koneksi Matematik Siswa SMA Melalui Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah." *Educationist* 1(2):116–123.
- Robi, A. A. (2018). The Analysis of Critical Thinking Skill of Version P21 in Solving the Problems of Two Dimensional Arithmetic Derived from the Implementation of Guided Discovery Learning. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 6(01), M-2018.
- Salazar, R. F., Dotson, N. M., Bressler, S. L., Gray, C. M. (2012). Content-Specific Fronto-Parietal Synchronization During Visual Working Memory. *Science*, 338(6110), 1097– 1100
- Shannon, S. V. (2008). Using Metacognitive Strategies and Learning Styles to Create Self-Directed Learners. *Institute for Learning Styles Journal*. 1 (1): 14-28.
- Suarsana, I., Lestari, I. A. P. D., & Mertasari, N. M. S. (2019). The Effect of Online Problem Posing on Students' Problem-Solving Ability in Mathematics. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(1), 809-820.
- Yousefi, S., & Mohammadi, M. (2016). Critical Thinking and Reading Comprehension Among Postgraduate Students: the Case of Gender and Language Proficiency Level. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 7(4), 802-807.
- Zakaria, G. A. N., Mahalle, S., Bakar, Z. A., & Nawi, A. (2015). Collaborative Learning in the Teaching of Usul Fiqh (Islamic Jurisprudence) at the Pre-University Level in Brunei Darussalam. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(3 S1), 158.

Zare, P., & Othman, M. (2015). Students' Perceptions Toward Using Classroom Debate to Develop Critical Thinking and Oral Communication Ability. *Asian Social Science*, *11*(9), 158.